

REMARKS

The Office Action objects to the Abstract. Applicant has amended the Abstract to correct a typographical error and remove the reference numbers. However, Applicant traverses the objection based on the number of words. The Abstract had less than 150 words in the original filed application.

The Office Action objects to the specification for informalities found on page 2, line 5. Applicant has amended the specification to correct the typographical error.

Claim 1 is objected for grammatical informalities on lines 3 and 17. Applicant has corrected the typographical error.

Applicant acknowledges the allowance of claims 1-15.

Applicant has amended claim 12 to correct a typographical error.

The Office Action rejects claims 16-26 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant has amended claim 16 to recite the step of displaying the position deviation on a display screen, which is believed to be a useful, concrete, and tangible result. The amendment is supported by paragraph [00026] of the original filed application. The amendment is believed to overcome the 101 rejection of claims 16-26.

Applicant believes that all information and requirements for the application have been provided to the USPTO. If there are matters that can be discussed by telephone to further the prosecution of the Application, Applicant invites the Examiner to call the undersigned attorney at the Examiner's convenience.

USPTO Serial No.: 10/538,978
Applicant: Ichitaroh Satoh
Response to Office Action mailed January 29, 2007

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees due with this Response to U.S. PTO Account No. 17-0055.

Respectfully submitted,
QUARLES & BRADY LLP

May 21, 2007

By: 

Robert D. Atkins
Reg. No. 34,288

Address all correspondence to:

Robert D. Atkins

Quarles & Brady LLP
One Renaissance Square
Two North Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85004
Telephone: (602) 229-5290
Facsimile: (602) 229-5690
E-mail: rda@quarles.com